

# Letter to the editor

## ANTIBIOTIC-RESISTANT GRAM-NEGATIVE BACTERIA IN DEEP TISSUE CULTURES

Past studies have shown that antibiotic-resistant Gram-negative organisms (AR GNO) colonise the surface of chronic wounds (1–4). We performed a chart review to determine the prevalence of AR GNO in deep tissue of non healing and infected wounds after sharp debridement had been performed.

We reviewed records of bacterial wound cultures for all patients seen over a 6-month period at an urban wound healing programme. For each patient, all cultures that had been obtained at our institution were reviewed. Cultures were included in analysis if they were derived from deep tissue obtained after sharp excisional debridement of all grossly necrotic and non viable tissue. Clinical indications for wound debridement included infection or failure to heal in a non ischaemic wound.

Antibiotic resistance was defined as resistance to carbapenems in acinetobacter strains (5), resistance to third-generation cephalosporins in klebsiella strains and resistance to fluoroquinolones, third-generation cephalosporins, or carbapenems in pseudomonas strains. (6) Intermediate sensitivity was considered to be resistant. Diphtheroids and coagulase-negative staphylococcus were not included in assessment of polymicrobial infection.

Thirty of 336 total patients (8.9%, 95% CI: 8.7–9.2%) had deep tissue cultures with antibiotic-resistant acinetobacter, pseudomonas and/or klebsiella. Four patients had more than one of these organisms. There were 7 patients with resistant acinetobacter, 8 with klebsiella and 19 with pseudomonas. Of the 19 patients with antibiotic-resistant pseudomonas, 12 had resistance to fluoroquinolones, 5 to carbapenems and 15 to third-generation cephalosporins. Eleven patients had resistance to more than one of these classes, and two patients had resistance to all three classes. Of the patients with carbapenem-resistant acinetobacter, all

isolates were resistant to cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones. No resistance to polymixin was observed.

Of the 30 patients, 12 had venous stasis ulcers; 7 ischaemic wounds; 5 pressure ulcers; 5 diabetic foot ulcers and 1 pyoderma. Twenty-five cultures were obtained in the operating room and five in the outpatient or bedside setting.

Of 10 patients with resistant Gram-negative organisms in bone cultures, 9 had pathology specimens, of which 5 showed histopathology consistent with osteomyelitis. Twenty-two cultures (65%) were polymicrobial infections. Of the 30 patients with positive cultures, 50% were 65 years or older, 30% lived in a nursing home and 23% were bedbound. There was a high rate of chronic disease: 63% of patients were diabetic and 9% were immunosuppressed from human immunodeficiency virus, post-transplant medications or chemotherapy. Patients had been hospitalised on the wound programme's inpatient unit an average of 3.5 times over the previous 3 years.

This study documents the presence of AR GNO in deep tissue after wound debridement. Antibiotic regimens for resistant bacteria often require intravenous administration and have higher rates of adverse effects. We hypothesise that wound debridement decreases the risk of developing resistant organisms by surgically removing the infected tissue. We further hypothesise that, in the presence of resistant organisms, tailored antibiotic regimens lead to faster resolution of infection and increase healing rates.

Anna Flattau MD MSC

Department of Family and Social Medicine,  
Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, NY, USA;

Department of Surgery, Montefiore Medical  
Center, Bronx, NY, USA

E-mail: aflattau@montefiore.org.

Jessica Schiffman BA

Department of Surgery, Columbia University  
Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

Franklin D Lowy MD  
 Division of Infectious Disease, Department of  
 Medicine, Columbia University Medical  
 Center, New York, NY, USA

Harold Brem MD  
 Division of Wound Healing & Regenerative  
 Medicine, Department of Surgery, New York  
 University School of Medicine, New York,  
 NY, USA

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was supported financially by National Institutes of Health/ National Library of Medicine (Grant R01LM008443).

### REFERENCES

- 1 Colsky AS, Kirsner RS, Kerdel FA. Analysis of antibiotic susceptibilities of skin wound flora in hospitalized dermatology patients. *Arch Dermatol* 1998;134:1006–9.
- 2 Rennie RP, Jones RN, Mutnick AH, SENTRY Program Study Group (North America). Occurrence and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of pathogens isolated from skin and soft tissue infections: report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (United States and Canada, 2000). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2003;45:287–93.
- 3 Valencia IC, Kirsner RS, Kerdel FA. Microbiologic evaluation of skin wounds: alarming trend toward antibiotic resistance in an inpatient dermatology service during a 10-year period. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2004;50:845–9.
- 4 Wiener J, Quinn JP, Bradford PA, Goering RV, Nathan C, Bush K, Weinstein RA. Multiple antibiotic-resistant *Klebsiella* and *Escherichia coli* in nursing homes. *JAMA* 1999;281:517–23.
- 5 Gales AC, Jones RN, Forward KR, Linares J, Sader HS, Verhoef J. Emerging importance of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter* species and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* as pathogens in seriously ill patients: geographic patterns, epidemiological features, and trends in the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program (1997–1999). *Clin Infect Dis* 2001;32(2 Suppl):104–13.
- 6 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National nosocomial infections surveillance (NNIS) system report, data summary from January 1992 through June 2004, issued October 2004. *Am J Infect Control* 2004;32:470–85.